Risk Based Monitoring: Risk Assessment Tool Fiscal Year 2023



Onsite Monitoring Focus:

Each year, every school is assessed and assigned a risk level. If there are limited indicators of high risk, the school will be deemed high risk, but onsite monitoring will be targeted towards the indicators that flagged as high risk.

Comprehensive Program/Fiscal Review: Failure to perform in three or more areas OR 3-year cyclic review OR new school in first year Targeted Program/Fiscal Review: Failure to perform in one or two specific areas

Note: If a school has indicators that result in an Automatic High Risk (Table 1) determination, Risk Tier Criteria (Table 2) will not be assessed.

Table 1		
Automatic High-Risk Criteria If one or more of each of the criteria below exist below, a school will be deemed high risk.	Indicators of High Risk	Indicators of Low Risk
Criterion A – <u>New Charter Holder Open < 2 years</u> will receive an automatic designation of high-risk for both fiscal and program areas. -OR- <u>New Charter School Campus</u> will receive an automatic designation of high-risk for program only as the fiscal score is assessed at the Charter Holder level and not the campus level. -OR- <u>New Program at an existing charter holder</u> will receive an automatic designation of high- risk for program only with a focus on the new program.	 First year (comprehensive) Second year (may be targeted) Areas of concern from prior onsite monitoring: Fiscal IDEA Title I Title II Title III Title IV New Program (may be targeted) Title II Title II Title II Title II Title I 	
Criterion B – Currently under a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) issued during the previous year of monitoring. Schools under a CAP will automatically be deemed high-risk.	 Under CAP (may be targeted) Areas of concern from prior onsite monitoring: Fiscal IDEA Title I Title II 	

	🗆 Title III	
	□ Title IV	
Criterion C - Single Audit Report (2 CFR 200.501)	Findings on the single audit; or single	
Single Audit Required if the charter holder receives \$750,000 or more combined in	audit required and not conducted	
Federal grant funds during the charter holder's fiscal year.	□ Fiscal	
Note: Any of these circumstances will automatically be deemed high-risk		
 Findings on the Single Audit; or Single Audit required and not conducted 		
Criterion D – Designated as Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI)	Comprehensive Support and Improvement	
Schools designated at CSI will automatically be deemed high-risk for program, in the area of Title I.		

Table 2		
Risk Tier Criteria: If the majority of a school's indicators in a particular area for criteria below are indicated as high, a school or campus will be deemed high risk for that area. Otherwise, a school or campus will be deemed low risk.	Indicators of High Risk	Indicators of Low Risk
Criterion 1 – Required Grant Reporting - Quality	Failure to submit desktop monitoring documents	Desktop monitoring documents submitted
(Program – Campus Level)	□ IDEA □ Title I □ Title III	□ IDEA □ Title I □ Title III
Criterion 2a – Key Program Personnel Turnover	Change in program lead since last school/fiscal year	No change in program lead since last school/fiscal year
(Program – Campus Level)	□ IDEA □ Title I □ Title III (ELL)	☐ IDEA ☐ Title I ☐ Title III (ELL)
Criterion 2b – Key Fiscal Personnel Turnover	50% or more turnover in key personnel since last school/fiscal year.	<50% turnover in key personnel since last school/fiscal year.
(Fiscal – Charter Holder Level)	□ Fiscal	□ Fiscal

Table 2		
Risk Tier Criteria: If the majority of a school's indicators in a particular area for criteria below are indicated as high, a school or campus will be deemed high risk for that area. Otherwise, a school or campus will be deemed low risk.	Indicators of High Risk	Indicators of Low Risk
Criterion 3a – Fiscal Grants Reporting Compliance – Quality (Fiscal – Charter Holder Level)	Any reviewed reimbursement request not accepted/approved on first submission – major deficiencies	No major deficiencies and majority of reviewed reimbursement requests submitted and accepted/approved on first submission.
*WAIVED for the Risk Assessment conducted in the fall of 2022.	□ Fiscal	Fiscal
Criterion 3b – Fiscal Grants Reporting Compliance – Timeliness	The school failed to submit one or more monthly reimbursement request	The school submitted every monthly reimbursement request
(Fiscal – Charter Holder Level)	□ Fiscal	□ Fiscal
Criterion 4a – Subaward Performance	School is unable to provide any	School shows they have made
(Program – Charter Holder Level)	evidence of progress toward stated SMARTIE Goals and/or lacks a plan to monitor progress towards meeting SMARTIE Goals.	satisfactory performance toward meeting SMARTIE goals and can provide sufficient evidence of that progress.
	□ IDEA	🗆 IDEA
	Title I	Title I
	□ Title II □ Title III	□ Title II □ Title III
*WAIVED for the Risk Assessment conducted in the fall of 2022.		
Criterion 4b - Fiscal Performance (Fiscal – Charter Holder Level)	41% or more of allocated funds are unspent during the period of performance.	15% or less funds unspent in the period of performance.
*WAIVED for the Risk Assessment conducted in the fall of 2022.	□ Fiscal	□ Fiscal

Table 2		
Risk Tier Criteria: If the majority of a school's indicators in a particular area for criteria	Indicators of High Risk	Indicators of Low Risk
below are indicated as high, a school or campus will be deemed high risk for that area.		
Otherwise, a school or campus will be deemed low risk.		
Criterion 5a – Training Participation	Did not attend all mandatory trainings	Attended all mandatory trainings
	(synchronous or asynchronous).	(synchronous or asynchronous).
(Program - Campus level)	□ IDEA	□ IDEA
	🗆 Title I	🗆 Title I
	🗆 Title II	🗆 Title II
	🗆 Title III	🗆 Title III
*WAIVED for the Risk Assessment conducted in the fall of 2022.	□ Title IV	□ Title IV
Criterion 5b – Training Participation	Did not attend all mandatory trainings	Attended all mandatory trainings
	(synchronous or asynchronous).	(synchronous or asynchronous).
(Fiscal - Charter holder level)		
	🗆 Fiscal	□ Fiscal
*WAIVED for the Risk Assessment conducted in the fall of 2022.		
Criterion 6 – Management Systems	The written response provided	All written responses provided indicate
(Fiscal – Charter holder level)	indicated significant areas at potential risk of non-compliance OR not enough	minimal to no risk of non-compliance for any of the topics selected and sufficient
	information was provided in multiple	detail was provided.
	areas and SPCSA is unable to	
	determine processes.	
	□ Fiscal	□ Fiscal
*WAIVED for the Risk Assessment conducted in the fall of 2022.		
Criterion 7 - Designated as Targeted Support & Improvement (TSI) or Targeted Support &	TSI or TSI/ATSI	Does not apply
Improvement/Additional Targeted Support & Improvement (TSI/ATSI)		
		□ School is not TSI or TSI/ATSI
(Program – Campus Level)	Economically	
	Disadvantaged (Title I)	
	□ Special Education (IDEA)	
	🗆 ELL (Title III)	
	🗆 TSI/ATSI	
	□ Economically	
	Disadvantage (Title I)	
	□ Special Education (IDEA)	
	🗆 ELL (Title III)	

Table 2		
Risk Tier Criteria: If the majority of a school's indicators in a particular area for criteria below are indicated as high, a school or campus will be deemed high risk for that area. Otherwise, a school or campus will be deemed low risk.	Indicators of High Risk	Indicators of Low Risk
Criterion 8 – Special Education Compliance	Verified evidence of non-compliance with special education requirements	No verified evidence of non-compliance with special education requirements.
(Program – Campus Level)	 including one or more of the following: Verified Due Process Complaint Verified State Complaint Verified Office of Civil Rights Complaint State Special Education Audit resulted in a Corrective Action Plan Repeated, verified special education concerns from parents (three or more) reported to SPCSA 	
	□ IDEA	□ IDEA
Criterion 9 - Percent growth of English Language Learners (Title III – ELL)- WIDA Growth (Program – Campus Level)	Lowest quartile (based on Nevada SY2020-21 Quartiles WIDA ACCESS AGP)	Not lowest quartile
*Future Risk-Assessment Tools will use NSPF data rather than NDE Quartiles for this Criterion.	🗆 Title III	🗆 Title III

Results

Totals by Indicator of High Risk (from Table 2)	Fiscal IDEA	Title I Title II Title III Title IV
Totals by Indicator of Low Risk (from Table 2)	Fiscal	Title I Title II

State Public Charter School Authority Rev. 12/28/2021 Risk Based Monitoring: Risk Assessment Tool Fiscal Year 2023 Page 5 of 6

	IDEA	Title III Title IV
Overall Risk Tier Designation:		
Type of monitoring to be performed:	 Comprehensive onsite monitoring Comprehensive 3-year cyclic onsite monitoring Desktop monitoring 	□ Targeted onsite monitoring
Focus of onsite monitoring (if applicable):		
	· ·	
SPCSA Program Reviewer/Date:		
SPCSA Program Reviewer/Date:		
SPCSA Fiscal Reviewer / Date:		